≥ last year and ≥ the national average
≥ last year < national average or < last year ≥ national average</p>
< last year and < national average</p>

ement	Area of measurement	KPI	Demographic	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	-	Compliance	Trend	Summary
		Ratio between 0.8 and 1.2:1	BAME Disabled	3.28 1.20	0.96 1.80	1.28 1.30	2.02 1.19	2.23 1.00			* Monitoring now in place * Applicants across all PC's listed have an equal likelihood of
Recruitment & Progression	RP1 - Relative likelihood of appointment from shortlisting		Female	1.20	1.00	1.30	1.15	0.87			appointment from shortlisting, with the exception of BAME applicants. This has declined and is a cause for concern. A
			LGB+					0.95			recruitment audit has been developed and piloted, with finding
			Christian Atheist					0.90			under review.
			Atheist Org. Ave		47.8%	49.8%	48.2%	1.08 46.3%			* A decline in experience can be seen for all staff. Findings for
	RP2 -Staff satisfaction with opportunities for career progression (Q24b from NSS)	≥ national average Improvement from last year	Nat. Ave		52.2%	53.5%	55.2%	54.3%			BAME staff still remain above the national average, however have declined in line with all staff groups.
			BAME		62.0%	61.5%	56.6%	54.6%			nate decimed in the with an staff groups.
			White Disabled		46.2% 38.7%	48.6% 41.9%	48.3% 41.0%	45.6% 36.0%			1
			Non-disabled		50.2%	52.4%	52.1%	50.5%			
			Female		47.7%	50.1%	48.7%	46.0%			
			Male		48.2%	51.9%	54.7%	50.3%			
			LGB+ Straight		45.0% 47.8%	53.5% 50.5%	48.9% 49.9%	45.0% 47.4%			
			Christian		46.5%	49.9%	48.9%	46.7%			
			Other Religions	54.1%	58.0% 54.3 %	57.0% 56.8%	54.0% 55.5%	51.8% 56.2%			* A mixture of results can be seen in this area with organisation
	RP3: Staff feeling the organisation acts fairly with regards to career progression (Q15 from NSS)		Org. Ave Nat. Ave	56.5%	55.9%	55.8%	55.9%	56.0%			and national averages increasing along with white, non-disable
			BAME	43.5%	49.3%	49.6%	50.2%	49.5%			female and straight staff groups. * Particular areas of focus are BAME staff and those whose
			White	55.4%	54.6% 45.8%	57.9%	56.9%	57.9%			religion is other than Christian as experiences in these areas had declined this year and fall below the national average.
		≥ national average	Disabled Non-disabled	46.3% 56.6%	56.6%	52.5% 58.1%	51.1% 57.7%	51.1% 58.6%			seemed this year and ran selon the national area age.
		Improvement from last year	Female	55.5%	55.1%	57.8%	56.7%	57.3%			
			Male	52.2%	52.9%	56.5%	58.2%	56.8%			
			LGB+ Straight	55.1%	55.5% 54.8%	62.4% 57.7%	52.7% 57.4%	55.5% 57.8%			
			Christian	55.2%	56.3%	57.3%	57.9%	56.6%			
			Other Religions	52.0%	54.0%	58.0%	55.0%	54.0%			
			Org. Ave Nat. Ave	7.03	6.67 6.84	6.69	6.67 6.91	6.56 6.84			*Staff engagement scores have declined at an organisational a national level this year. This can also be seen in the majority of staff groups, with the exception of LGB+ who are the only staff group to be more engaged this year
			BAME	7.03	7.22	7.30	7.17	7.08			
			White	6.84	6.63	6.62	6.62	6.51			
		> notional average	Disabled Non-disabled	6.45	6.28	6.26	6.19	6.07			
	S1: Staff engagement score	≥ national average Improvement from last year	Non-disabled Female	6.94 6.93	6.80 6.70	6.82 6.71	6.86 6.73	6.75 6.61			
			Male	6.68	6.67	6.71	6.74	6.61			
			Straight	6.91	6.72	6.77	6.77	6.64			
			LGB+ Christian	7.02	6.79	6.08 6.86	6.02 6.86	6.26 6.72			1
			Other Religions	6.91	6.96	7.13	7.19	6.72			1
			Org. Ave		8.20	8.26	8.18	8.18			* The majority of staff groups have seen an increase in
	S2: Equality and Diversity subscore (NSS)		Nat. Ave		8.13 7.66	8.10 7.66	8.12 7.25	8.08			experiences relating to equality and diversity this year and are above the national average.
			BAME White		7.66 8.25	7.66 8.35	7.25 8.35	7.27 8.37			* However, experiences of disabled, LGB+ staff and those with other religions have declined and fall below the national
		≥ national average Improvement from last year	Disabled		7.73	7.96	7.90	7.89			average.
itaff Satisfaction - What's it like to work at WUTH			Non-disabled		8.35	8.36	8.34	8.34			
			Female Male		8.23 8.20	8.30 8.26	8.27 8.25	8.27 8.26			
			LGB+		6.20	8.26	8.25	7.90			
			Straight		8.25	8.32	8.28	8.30			
			Christian Other Religions		8.29 8.02	8.25 8.30	8.25	8.22			
			Other Religions Org. Ave		6.84	8.30 6.92	8.19 6.83	7.91 6.81			*LGB+ staff have seen the biggest improvement in this area thi
			Nat. Ave		6.78	6.84	6.86	6.81			year, however disabled and BAME staff have declined and fall below the national average.
			BAME		6.78	7.08	6.70	6.67			
			White Disabled		6.80	6.89 6.60	6.85 6.49	6.85 6.39			
	S3: Inclusion sub-score (NSS)	≥ national average	Non-disabled		6.90	6.99	6.95	6.98			
	Secretary	Improvement from last year	Female		6.80	6.92	6.85	6.85			
			Male LGB+		6.83	7.00 6.40	6.95 6.31	6.92 6.57			
			Straight		6.82	6.96	6.91	6.89		~	
			Christian		6.84	6.98	6.91	6.86			
Retention	R1: Turnover	≥ org average Improvement from last year	Other Religions		6.83	6.89	7.11	6.92			* Turnover data is deemed to be healthy, with no additional
			BAME White			2.0% 12.2%	1.6%	2.4% 9.2%			concerns presented
			Disabled			0.3%	0.5%	0.7%			
			Non-disabled			13.9%	11.6%	10.9%			
			Female Male			10.6% 3.5%	9.0%	8.4% 3.1%			1
			LGB+			1.7%	1.5%	1.0%			
			Straight			12.4%	10.4%	11.1%			
			Christian Other Religions			6.0%	4.9%	4.8%			
	R2: Thinking of leaving (NSS score)		Other Religions Org. Ave	6.23	5.99	8.2% 5.91	7.0% 5.82	6.8% 5.79			* Only two staff groups have seen improvements in this area,
			Nat. Ave	6.31	5.97	5.86	6.06	6.04			with less male staff and those with religions other than Christia thinking of leaving.
			BAME	6.73	6.55	6.41	6.13	6.11			* Trend analysis shows a reducing picture over the last few
			White Disabled	6.28 5.78	6.00 5.53	5.86 5.39	5.86 5.38	5.84 5.34			years, however with a slowed reduction more latterly.
		≥ national average Improvement from last year	Non-disabled	6.43	6.21	6.08	6.07	6.04			
			Female	6.38	6.05	5.97	5.95	5.90			
			Male LGB+	6.15	6.15	5.90 5.51	5.87 5.12	5.93 4.99			
			LODI		6.09	5.51	5.12	5.97			
			Straight	6.37		1	6.08	6.02			
			Straight Christian	6.37 6.46	6.16	6.06					
				6.46 6.49	6.37	6.10	6.39	6.49			
			Christian	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI	6.37 Improvement	6.10 Plan				December 1	Summary
	lua a chi d		Christian	6.46 6.49	6.37	6.10	6.39	6.49 2024/25		Progress Rating	·
	HIA 1: Chief executives, chairs and board members must have	Success Metric 1 - Annual chair and chief executive appraisals on EDI	Christian	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI	6.37 Improvement	6.10 Plan				Progress Rating Limited progress	·
	and board members must have specific and measurable EDI	chief executive appraisals on EDI objectives	Christian	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI	6.37 Improvement	6.10 Plan					* Board members all now have EDI objectives, with appraisals
	and board members must have specific and measurable EDI objectives to which they will be individually and collectively	chief executive appraisals on EDI objectives	Christian	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI	6.37 Improvement	6.10 Plan					* Board members all now have EDI objectives, with appraisals
	and board members must have specific and measurable EDI objectives to which they will be	chief executive appraisals on EDI objectives HIA 1: Progress overall	Christian	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI	6.37 Improvement	6.10 Plan				Limited progress	* Board members all now have EDI objectives, with appraisals scheduled to review progress.
	and board members must have specific and measurable EDI objectives to which they will be individually and collectively	chief executive appraisals on EDI objectives HIA 1: Progress overall Success Metric 1 Relative likelihood of staff being appointed from	Christian	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI	6.37 Improvement	6.10 Plan				Limited progress	* Board members all now have EDI objectives, with appraisals scheduled to review progress. * Monitoring now in place * Applicants across all PC's listed have an equal likelihood of
	and board members must have specific and measurable EDI objectives to which they will be individually and collectively	chief executive appraisals on EDI objectives HIA 1: Progress overall Success Metric 1 Relative likelihood	Christian Other Religions	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI 2020/21	6.37 Improvement 2021/22	6.10 Plan 2022/23	2023/24	2024/25		Limited progress	* Board members all now have EDI objectives, with appraisals scheduled to review progress. * Monitoring now in place * Applicants across all PC's listed have an equal likelihood of appointment from shortlisting, with the exception of BAME
	and board members must have specific and measurable EDI objectives to which they will be individually and collectively	chief executive appraisals on EDI objectives HIA 1: Progress overall Success Metric 1 Relative likelihood of staff being appointed from	Christian Other Religions BAME	6.46 6.49 NHS EDI 2020/21	6.37 Improvement 2021/22	6.10 Plan 2022/23	2023/24	2024/25		Limited progress	* Board members all now have EDI objectives, with appraisals scheduled to review progress. * Monitoring now in place * Applicants across all PC's listed have an equal likelihood of

			Christian					0.90					
			Atheist					1.08					
								1.08		Very Good Progress			
		Success Metric 2 Access to career progression, training and development opportunities.	Success Metric Overall								* A decline in experience can be seen for all staff. Findings for		
			Org. Ave		47.8%	49.8%	48.2%	46.3%			BAME staff still remain above the national average, however have declined in line with all staff groups.		
			Nat. Ave		52.2%	53.5%	55.2%	54.3%					
			BAME		62.0%	61.5%	56.6%	54.6%					
			White		46.2%	48.6%	48.3%	45.6%					
			Disabled		38.7%	41.9%	41.0%	36.0%					
			Non-disabled		50.2%	52.4%	52.1%	50.5%					
			Female		47.7%	50.1%	48.7%	46.0%					
			Male		48.2%	51.9%	54.7%	50.3%					
			LGB+		45.0%	53.5%	48.9%	45.0%					
			Straight		47.8%	50.5%	49.9%	47.4%					
			Christian		46.5%	49.9%	48.9%	46.7%					
			Other Religions Success Metric Overall		58.0%	57.0%	54.0%	51.8%		Limited manages			
			Disability		2.0%	2.8%	3.6%	3.8%		Limited progress	* Year on year improvement in representation of both disab		
		Success Metric 3 - Improvement in race and disability representation leading to parity over the life of the plan. Success Metric 4 - Improvement in representation of senior leadership (Band 8C and above) over the life of	Race		10.4%	12.3%	13.7%	14.7%			and BAME staff.		
			Nace		10.476	12.5%	13.776	14.776			* Self declaration rates still remain low however for disabled staff, with 20% of staff still remaining undefined		
			Success Metric Overall							Very Good Progress	* Whilst BAME representation is increasing, this is not equal across all areas, with significantly higher levels of BAME clinical		
			Disability				0.000/	4.070/			staff compared to non-clinical.		
			Disability				0.98% 3.45%	1.07% 8.00%			* Whilst bands 8c and above have increased representation, diversity at Board level for BAME and disability is low.		
			Race				3.45%	8.00%					
			Success Metric Overall							Good Progress			
		Success Metric 5 - HEE National Education and Training Survey (NETS) Score metric on quality of training.				69.24%	70.48%	71.54%		* Year on year improvement in NETS results Excellent progress			
			09.24% /0.48% /1.54%							anconom progress			
		Success Metric 6 - Diversity in shortlisted candidates.	Data to be included moving forwards					No progress	* Data reviewed as part of wider WRES and WDES metrics, however to be included as separate reporting item moving forwards				
		HIA 2: Progress overall								Good progress	Progress is varied across the metrics, with focus to be placed on		
		THA 2. Progress overall	Gender		21.1%	21.2%	19.4%	20.1%		Good progress	areas of improvement for 2025/26		
	HIA 3: Develop an improvement plan to eliminate pay gaps.	Success metric 1 - Improvement in the gender, race and disability pay gaps (Mean average used) HIA 3: Progress overall	Ethnicity		22.273		-11.7%	-14.9%			* Whilst reporting commenced in 2023 as a pilot, full report has been completed this year and published as part of Gend		
			Disability Sexual Orientation				10.2% 7.8%	10.2% 6.1%			pay gap reporting. * Whilst gender pay gap has increased slightly this year, the		
			7.3% (1.1%)						Very Good Progress	median pay gap has improved, along with bonus gaps and the gap has reduced over a number of years.			
		Success Metric 1 - Organisation								10., 2001.108.000	gap has reduced over a number of years.		
		action on staff health and wellbeing (NSS Q 11a)	ng 50.01% 52.94% 49.18% 46.51% Limited pr				Limited progress	* WUTH staff experiences have been reducing for Q11a, the					
		Success Metric 2 - HEE National Education & Training Survey (NETS) Separate Indicator Score metric on				69.24%	70.48%	71.54%		Excellent progress	Trust has launched a health inequalities plan and has seen improvements in experiences from immediate managers regarding action on health and wellbeing.		
		quality of training. HIA 4: Progress overall							/	Limited progress			
	HIA 5: Develop a comprehensive induction, onboarding and development programme for internationally recruited staff.	Success Metric 1 - Sense of belonging for internationally recruited staff			7.05	6.82	6.55	6.5		Limited progress	* There is no specific question regarding "sense of belonging" in the staff survey. The people promise "Inclusion" score has therefore been used as an alternative and feedback provided as part of reporting processes * Despite an increase last year, an improvement in experiences can be seen over the last four years. * WUTH has been awarded a pastoral certificate for its onboarding and development programme for IR staff.		
		, onboarding and instances of bullying and harassment	By colleagues		26.00%	21.69%	26.95%	22.67%		Very good progress			
			By line manager		16.00%	8.48%	12.69%	13.91%		Limited progress			
									V	Limited progress	* Listening events held with staff; staff network support in place and surveys sent to staff to understand experiences with a range		
		TITA J. FTUGIESS OVEIAII	% of staff experiencing bullying,							Emitted progress	of Trust activities to support improvements		
	HIA 6: Create an environment which eliminates the conditions in which bullying, discrimination, harassment and physical violence at work occurs	Success Metric 1 - Improvement in staff survey results of bullying and harassment from line managers or teams	harassment or abuse from managers in the last 12 months (NSS Q14b)	14.28%	13.91%	11.56%	11.34%	10.33%		Excellent progress			
			% of staff experiencing bullying, harassment or abuse from colleagues in the last 12 months (NSS Q14c)	18.48%	20.23%	16.79%	19.16%	16.99%		Excellent progress			
		Success Metric 2 - Improvement in National Education and Training Survey (NETS) bullying and harassment score metric	Trace State)			92.40%	83.73%	86.46%		Good progress	* Excellent progress made following a series of actions to understand staff experiences and support improvements		
		Success Metric 3 - Improvement in staff survey results of discrimination from line managers or teams (All staff NSS results)	No. of staff experiencing discrimination at work from colleagues/managers/team leaders (NSS Q16b)	5.87%	6.80%	6.70%	7.34%	7.18%		Excellent progress			
		HIA 6: Progress overall								Excellent progress			